



# Warehouse Facility Rate Mismatch – Originator

**Scenario Type:** Private Credit – Origination Platform (Warehouse Funding & Margin Stability)

**Asset Class:** Asset-Based Lending (Receivables / Inventory / Supply Chain Finance – Asia)

**Risk Focus:** Floating funding shock, margin compression, covenant pressure, forced deleveraging, liquidity and governance stress

**Primary Offer:** Hedge Rebuild™ (Funding & Margin Risk Redesign)

## 1. Decision Context

This scenario addresses a recurring failure in private credit origination platforms where **loan pricing appears short-dated, but funding risk behaves structurally long**.

The issue is not credit losses or poor underwriting.

It is a **timing and control failure** between floating warehouse funding that reprices instantly and asset yields that reprice slowly or not at all.

By the time margin compression becomes visible, governance options are already constrained.

## 2. The Structural Problem

In warehouse-funded origination platforms:

- Funding costs reset immediately with base rates
- Loan pricing is constrained by borrower commitments (12–24 months)
- Utilisation is high and variable
- Repricing is commercially and politically difficult

This creates a **leveraged floating-rate exposure** that is rarely treated as a hedgeable risk position.

What looks like short duration in isolation behaves like a fixed-rate book funded floating – with convex downside.

### 3. Why This Matters at Portfolio Level

Once rates move sharply:

- Margin compression accelerates faster than repricing ability
- Covenants tighten despite stable credit performance
- Boards debate pricing optics instead of risk control
- Growth becomes hostage to funding volatility

The platform shifts from **growth engine to survival mode** without a single loan default.

### 4. What Fails if Left Untreated

If funding risk is not explicitly bounded:

- Profitability collapses before action is taken
- Repricing decisions lag reality and burn equity
- Covenants are breached due to mechanics, not credit
- Management attention is consumed by rate narratives

Capital is lost quietly – without improving downside protection.

### 5. Illustrative Structuring Response

The objective is **not to lock funding costs**.

It is to **cap the failure zone while preserving upside**.

This typically involves:

- Bounded protection (e.g. collars rather than swaps)
- Dynamic hedge notional aligned to utilisation
- Forward-start protection for committed growth
- Explicit design around CSA, settlement timing, and governance triggers

Structure first. Instruments second.

### 6. Intended Outcome

- Margin survival under rate shock
- Retention of upside if rates normalise
- Reduced basis from utilisation swings
- Clear board-level decision thresholds
- Improved lender posture through demonstrable risk control

This is a **capital control intervention**, not a market timing exercise.

## 7. Applicability

### Most relevant where:

- Platforms are warehouse-funded at high utilisation
- Asset repricing lags funding resets
- ROE collapses despite stable credit performance

### Less relevant where:

- Assets reprice cleanly with funding
- Utilisation is optional or low
- Credit losses dominate outcomes

## 8. IC Takeaway

This is not a pricing problem. It is not a credit problem.

It is a **funding convexity problem**.

Left untreated, it turns rate volatility into existential risk.

## 9. Engagement Path

**Primary:** Hedge Rebuild™ – Funding & Margin Risk Redesign

**Secondary / Bespoke:** Forward-start protection, CSA and settlement redesign, hedge accounting support, lender negotiation, monitoring dashboards

A full structural narrative is available for readers who wish to review the underlying mechanics, trade-offs, and remediation sequencing in greater detail.

### Disclaimer

*Illustrative scenario for discussion purposes only.*

*Not a transaction summary or client-specific case study.*