CIO Brief

When Convexity Matters Most

How Tail Hedges Become Liquidity When Portfolios Break

Version 1, October 2025

By Mike Duncan, Para Bellum Advisors

www.parabellumadvisors.com

Executive Summary

Most tail-risk programmes are judged on the wrong metric. They are evaluated on **mark-to-market gains**, not on whether they deliver **usable cash when the portfolio needs it most**.

That mistake turns convexity into theatre.

A tail hedge is not a view on markets.
It is a **liquidity instrument** whose sole job is to convert crisis dislocations into cash fast enough to stabilise the portfolio – and ideally position it to emerge stronger.

Institutions that fail to pre-commit how and when that conversion happens usually discover the problem too late.

The core error boards keep making

Boards rarely set out to misuse tail hedges – but they often frame them incorrectly.

In practice, tail-risk programmes are treated as static allocations, philosophical statements about risk aversion, or insurance sleeves intended to be held until expiry. Each framing misses the point.

Convexity is a wasting asset. Once policy intervention arrives, liquidity returns, or market structure normalises, the value embedded in tail hedges begins to decay rapidly. Volatility mean-reverts, bid–ask spreads compress, and instruments that looked heroic at the trough can quietly bleed value while the core portfolio remains impaired.

This is why judging success by mark-to-market gains is a category error. Marks do not fund redemptions, margin calls, collateral requirements or operating expenses. Cash does. A hedge that is never monetised is not protection – it is an unrealised idea.

What success actually looks like

A tail-hedge programme can only be considered successful if it delivers outcomes in real time, not explanations in hindsight.

First, it must act as a **liquidity bridge**. The hedge should fund a defined runway of obligations – margin, collateral, redemptions and operating cash – under explicitly stressed assumptions. If the annual carry cost of the programme is modest but the realised cash in a crisis does not meaningfully extend the institution's liquidity horizon, the hedge is not performing its intended role.

Second, it must contribute to **drawdown containment**. Realised hedge proceeds should offset a material portion of contemporaneous losses at pre-agreed drawdown thresholds. Partial offsetting is sufficient; symbolic offsetting is not.

Third, it must preserve the **option to act**. Cash raised from convexity should first stabilise the balance sheet and only then, if conditions allow, support selective re-risking into assets the institution would be comfortable holding for years.

If realised proceeds are not multiple turns of annual carry, the programme is not insurance. It is cost.

Why discipline beats judgement in a crisis

Crises do not wait for agendas, memos or committees.

Institutions that execute well under stress tend to share one characteristic: authority, triggers and choreography have been agreed in advance. Action does not rely on judgement calls made at the peak of uncertainty.

Effective frameworks define three independent trigger lenses that can each authorise monetisation on their own.

Portfolio-level triggers link staged hedge realisation to drawdown thresholds as losses accumulate. Hedge-level triggers focus on the coverage the hedge is providing relative to contemporaneous losses. Market-structure triggers recognise that convexity decays fastest when policy intervention, term-structure shifts or liquidity restoration occur.

When these triggers are pre-approved, execution becomes mechanical rather than heroic. The objective is not to maximise gains, but to ensure that cash is raised while it still exists.

What boards Underestimate – decay and market plumbing

Not all tail hedges behave the same way once the shock passes.
Equity convexity pays early but decays quickly as policy support and liquidity return.
Credit protection holds value longer but introduces basis risk when markets are thin.
Rates hedges monetise fast and compress just as fast once backstops appear. Cross-asset overlays can provide liquidity when option markets seize, but can whipsaw as correlations shift.

The governance implication is unavoidable. Tail-risk programmes must be diversified across drivers and laddered across maturities, with at least one sleeve designed to pay early and fund the rest of the plan. Owning convexity is easy. Turning it into usable cash under stress is not.

The monetisation mistake that destroys value

The most common value-destroying behaviour is waiting for the "right moment".

Perfect exits do not exist in dislocations. Attempting to identify them usually results in doing nothing while decay accelerates. This is why experienced programmes monetise in tranches rather than all-or-nothing.

Early tranches reduce regret and secure liquidity. Later tranches manage decay as conditions normalise. Residual hedges protect against second-leg risk. This approach is not a lack of conviction – it is realism encoded into policy.

Why proceeds discipline matters more than hedge selection

Most governance failures occur after the hedge has worked.

Cash feels powerful. Teams feel vindicated. The temptation to "win twice" by redeploying aggressively overwhelms balance-sheet discipline. This is precisely why the use of proceeds must be codified before the event.

Published by Para Bellum Advisors | Singapore

Liquidity obligations come first. Balance-sheet ballast comes second. Opportunities, if any, come last and must be staged. If this hierarchy is not written down, it will not be followed under stress.

The uncomfortable truth

Most institutions do not fail because they lacked convexity.

They fail because they lacked authority to monetise it, triggers to force action, and a clear purpose for the cash once it arrived. The result is predictable: impressive marks, disappointing outcomes, and post-mortems filled with conditional language.

The policy sentence most boards wish they had

> *When predefined drawdown or hedge-performance thresholds are reached, the CIO is authorised to monetise the tail-hedge programme in staged tranches without convening a meeting.*
> *Proceeds first extend the institution's liquidity runway and strengthen defensive capacity.*
> *Only after this may pre-approved opportunity baskets be funded gradually.*
> *A residual hedge is re-established to protect against second-leg risk.*

It is not clever language.
It is operationally decisive – and that is the point.

Closing perspective

Tail hedging is not about predicting crises.

It is about ensuring that when markets seize up,
your institution has the one thing nobody sells cheaply:

time.

Time comes from cash.
Cash comes from disciplined monetisation.

Discipline only exists **before** the crisis arrives.

Published by Para Bellum Advisors | Singapore

Further Reading and Practitioner Resources

Para Bellum Advisors publishes practitioner papers and CIO Briefs: www.parabellumadvisors.com/insights.

About Para Bellum Advisors

Para Bellum Advisors is an independent advisory firm specialising in derivatives, collateral, and balance-sheet efficiency for institutional investors.

The firm works with lean investment teams managing complex, long-dated portfolios across FX, rates, credit, equity, and volatility risk. Its focus is not on product distribution or transaction volume, but on structure: how hedges are designed, how capital is consumed, and how portfolios behave under stress.

Para Bellum Advisors is practitioner-led. Its work draws on decades of experience across trading, structuring, and portfolio management in banks, asset managers, and insurance balance sheets. The objective is not theoretical optimisation, but durable improvement in capital efficiency, liquidity resilience, and realised outcomes.

For more information, visit www.offers.parabellumadvisors.com

For discussion or enquiries contact with **Mike Duncan** at **mike.duncan@parabellumadvisors.com**.

Para Bellum Advisors – Disclaimer

This paper is provided for informational purposes only. It does not constitute investment advice, financial product advice, or a recommendation to transact. It is not tailored to any institution's objectives, financial position, risk appetite, or regulatory constraints.

All examples are illustrative. Markets move, assumptions change, and outcomes will differ. Past performance is not a guide to future results. Any views expressed reflect Para Bellum Advisors' judgement at the time of writing and may change without notice.

Institutions should obtain independent advice and conduct their own analysis before making any investment, hedging, or risk-management decision.