Turning Convexity into Cash – The Discipline of Active Tail-Hedge Management

Version 1 October 2025

By Mike Duncan, Para Bellum Advisors

mike.duncan@parabellumadvisors.com

www.parabellumadvisors.com

Most tail-risk programmes are evaluated on the day they are purchased and the day they expire.

That is a category error. A tail hedge is not a trophy position or a philosophical statement about markets; it is a liquidity instrument/strategy designed to pay precisely when the rest of the portfolio cannot.

The question that separates experienced practitioners from slideware is simple: when the dislocation arrives and the hedge moves sharply into the money, **how do you turn that mark-to-market into cash, and how do you redeploy that cash, so the institution finishes the episode stronger rather than merely less damaged?**

This paper lays out an operating doctrine that boards can approve in advance and investment teams can execute in hours, not weeks.

Table of Contents

First principles – success is cash, not marks

Framing note on resilience: Tail hedging is not about the P&L of an option sleeve. It's about strengthening the resilience of the total portfolio. Judith Rodin captured this well in *The Resiliency Dividend* (2014): resilience is the capacity to prepare, absorb, recover, and adapt – ideally emerge stronger.

That is the real "dividend" of convexity: the ability to buy time, create liquidity, stabilise the balance sheet, and go on offence when everyone else is forced to de-risk. The hedge isn't the goal; the transformation it enables is.

In a shock, mark-to-market gains on options, volatility, CDS indices or duration are useful only if you can convert them into spendable cash before they decay.

Volatility mean-reverts. Basis closes. Policy backstops arrive. The hedge that looked like a life-raft at the trough can leak value with surprising speed while the core portfolio remains impaired.

We therefore define success along three practical lines.

1. **Liquidity bridge**: the hedge should fund a pre-specified liquidity runway – salaries, redemptions, margin, collateral and vendor payments – under stressed outflow assumptions. If the annual carry on the programme is 60–120 bps on a sleeve, the realised cash on the bad day needs to be multiple turns of that carry. If not, the programme is not insurance; it is theatre.

2. **Drawdown containment**: realised hedge gains should offset a material portion of contemporaneous losses at pre-agreed drawdown thresholds. If the equity book is down 25% and the hedge contributes 6–8% back in realised terms within the first fortnight, the programme is doing its job.

3. **Option to attack**: proceeds must either stabilise the balance sheet (cash and near-cash) or finance a measured re-risking into assets you would be proud to own for three years. The hedge is not a trade; it is fuel.

These principles are not after-the-fact rationalisations. They belong at the front of the policy so that, in the moment, the team is implementing a plan rather than improvising one.

Pre-commitment beats heroics – authority, triggers and choreography

Shocks do not wait for agendas.

The board's role is to set **delegated authority and trigger language** in advance so the CIO or delegate can move capital on the day without procedural friction.

We recommend three lenses that must be monitored simultaneously – 1) portfolio, 2) hedge and 3) market structure – with each allowed to fire action on its own.

1. **The portfolio lens** observes net drawdown in real time. Institutions know their pain thresholds: –8%, –12%, –18% are familiar waypoints. Tying staged monetisation to these levels ensures that even in a grinding sell-off without dramatic volatility, cash is raised as losses accrue.
2. **The hedge lens** looks at the hedge complex as a distinct P&L stream. When cumulative hedge gains equal 50% of contemporaneous portfolio loss, a first realisation tranche executes; at 75%, a second; at parity, the balance. This creates a self-correcting mechanism: the more the hedge does its job, the more of it you bank.
3. **The market-structure lens** acknowledges that convexity collapses quickly when the plumbing shifts. The flip from VIX backwardation to contango, emergency policy actions, exchange halts and sudden bid–ask improvements are not sentiment trivia – they are decay clocks. If your term structure normalises intraday, you do not wait for a meeting; you convert.

With these triggers in place, the choreography becomes repeatable: **realise**, **rebalance**, **reload**. Realise by selling or unwinding winning hedges in tranches according to the ladders. Rebalance by allocating proceeds first to cash and short bills, then to defensive sleeves, and only then to pre-authorised opportunity baskets. Reload by leaving a small, low-carry residual hedge in place in case the shock has a second leg. This is not bravado avoidance; it is decay management.

Instrument reality – know what you own, know how it decays

Different tails pay through different Greeks and behave differently after the trough. Equity index puts and variance swaps deliver early and violently –gamma and vega do the heavy lifting – but their half-life is short once policy puts re-assert.

Credit index CDS provides steadier, more linear P&L as spreads widen and can hold value longer, though basis to single-name books becomes a practical concern.

Rates shocks often reward payer swaptions and long duration quickly, but gains compress swiftly when the front end is backstopped.

Cross-asset overlays like VIX calls or long USD against pro-cyclical FX can offer P&L ballast and liquidity when options desks are chaotic, but they can whipsaw.

For governance, the implication is simple: **ladder maturities and diversify drivers**. A typical construct might hold 1-, 3- and 6-month equity insurance; a modest 3–6-month payer swaption sleeve; 12–24% notional in 5-year investment-grade index CDS; and a light cross-asset ballast such as VIX calls or long duration.

At least one sleeve should pay early to fund the rest of the plan.

The control room – what matters in the moment

In a crisis, good dashboards are short. The team should see, on one screen: gross and net drawdown; hedge P&L by sleeve relative to the underlying loss; cash and near-cash today; days of obligations covered under stressed outflows; term structure of volatility; and live bid–ask on the instruments you intend to monetise. If it takes a squint and a PhD to interpret the display, it is not a crisis dashboard – its redundant noise.

The purpose of this control room is not to stimulate additional debate. It is to confirm that a pre-committed action has been triggered and to show the team the safest place to execute the tranche quickly.

Monetisation mechanics – tranches over triumph

Few things are as expensive as **waiting for the perfect sale**.

Experienced desks sell in steps because each step reduces regret.

Consider a $1.0bn global equity sleeve hedged continuously with 3-month 10% out-of-the-money index puts covering 40% of notional at an annual carry of roughly 1.2% on the sleeve. A sudden 25% drop in the index, with implied vol moving from 18 to the mid-50s, will lift those puts into deep intrinsic value with meaningful residual vega.

Rough marks put the option value around 18% of the hedged notional. On 40% coverage, that is approximately $72m of hedge P&L against a $250m underlying loss – nearly 30% coverage at the trough.

The monetisation plan does not try to guess the low. It executes three sales.

1. When hedge P&L first touches 50% of contemporaneous loss, perhaps early in the slide, a first 30% realisation raises cash.
2. If the slide continues and coverage hits 75%, a second 30% is taken.
3. When policy interventions are announced or the term structure normalises, the balance is sold.

Proceeds are directed first to operating cash and bills – securing 6–9 months of obligations, and only then to measured investments that you pre-vetted for such moments.

You do not spend the first two tranches chasing the bounce.

The same discipline applies to a credit book carrying 20% notional protection in a 5-year investment-grade CDS index. A 100-bp widening in two weeks will deliver around $18m of P&L on $400m of index notional for a $2bn book.

If the net drawdown has crossed the –8% threshold, you unwind 40% of the hedge, crystallising cash while the index is still rich to single names. If the basis widens further, a second tranche follows.

You keep a lean residual until issuance re-opens or policy backstops tighten spreads.

The point is not to be clever; it is to be liquid.

Published by Para Bellum Advisors | Singapore

Proceeds discipline – ballast before bravado

Governance failures often happen **after** a successful monetisation.

Cash is psychologically hot; teams feel clever; the urge to "win twice" overwhelms prudence. This is precisely why the **use-of-proceeds** needs to be codified.

1. The first bucket is liquidity – operating cash, margin cushions, collateral comfort and a small increase in near-cash reserves.
2. The second is ballast – short-duration government paper or de-risking of pro-cyclical exposures that become dangerous in prolonged drawdowns.

Only after those two waterlines are above policy minimums do you fund opportunity baskets. Even then, you stage entries across days and weeks rather than hours.

There is nothing heroic about catching a falling knife with insurance money.

Reloading – cheap tails for the second leg

Every serious shock tempts fate with a second act.

Selling all the insurance and walking away can leave the institution naked if the first bounce is a head fake.

The solution is not to keep the entire complex; it is to **reload cheaply**. Rolling a small portion of the equity programme into two-month 15% OTM puts, or holding a modest VIX call strip, preserves convexity at a fraction of the original spend.

In credit, maintaining a token slice of index protection or expressing second-leg risk through payer swaptions on rates can cover the path where spreads lag policy support.

The residual cost is small; the behavioural benefit is large.

Teams that know they still have a tail do not freeze as easily.

Operations under stress – remove self-inflicted friction

In dislocations, the problem is rarely the model; it is the plumbing.

Counterparty lines and CSAs must be pre-cleared with emergency limits.

Variation margin dynamics on futures and short overlays must be modelled so the hedge does not become the liquidity problem.

Order templates for each monetisation step, with instruments, maturities, sizes and routing pre-filled, save minutes that matter.

The **communications tree** – who calls whom, who approves what, who logs the trade – must be documented and drilled.

If your process requires a special meeting, you do not have a process.

Behavioural guardrails – protect the plan from the humans

The market's violence is matched only by committees' capacity for hope.

The phrase "what if it gets worse tomorrow?" is a classic recipe for missing today's sale.

Pre-committing to small first tranches lowers the activation energy.

Renaming the hedge in governance documents as a **liquidity bridge** rather than a "trade" helps directors understand that selling is not giving up upside; it is funding the mission.

Ring-fencing the first two tranches of proceeds from immediate risk deployment reduces the dopamine loop that leads to buying too early.

These are soft tools, but they are the difference between an elegant policy and a usable one.

Measurement and learning – close the loop

After the episode, the team should present a two-page after-action review, not a novella.

Show the **timeline** – day by day net drawdown, hedge marks, monetisation timestamps.

Attribute **cash realised versus carry paid** – if a $12m annual carry produced $60m of cash within the event window, call it what it is: 5× insurance efficiency in the period that mattered.

Quantify **decay avoided** – what would the options have been worth had you sold 24 hours later?

Note **execution slippage** – where bid–ask took a toll, where basis moved, where operations helped or hindered.

Propose **policy changes** based on data: did the 50–75–100 ladder feel too tight or too loose; did the maturities line up with the path; did the cross-asset sleeve earn its keep?

Boards respond to clean numbers and crisp lessons; they do not need a forensic thesis.

Two narratives that read like reality

It helps to see the doctrine in human terms.

A sudden, March-2020-style equity air-pocket hits — a liquidity shock rather than a slow correction. The CIO's screen shows the equity sleeve down 11% week-on-week; the hedge complex is up $28m – roughly half of the contemporaneous loss.

The dashboard auto-flags the first threshold. The CIO sells 30% of the deepest-in-the-money puts on the liquid index future, raising $20m into T-bills by 10:30 a.m. Singapore time. Two days later, after another 7% slide and an emergency policy cut in the U.S., the VIX term structure flattens sharply.

The second tranche goes, raising another $16m.

The third tranche is executed into the policy headline rally. The team immediately rolls a small residual into cheaper, longer-dated out-of-the-money puts.

Liquidity runway moves from four to eight months; gross drawdown is still large, but net drawdown is contained, and the board sleeps.

Credit widening with policy backstop.

The multi-asset portfolio carries 20% notional in iTraxx Main protection against a $2bn corporate book. Spreads gap 100 bps in ten trading days. The hedge prints $18m.

Primary markets are shut; dealers are widening axes. The team unwinds 40% of the index at rich basis, capturing $7m.

When the central bank announces targeted credit facilities, the team sells another 30% into improved bids.

The remaining 30% is held until primary re-opens, then closed. A small payer swaption sleeve is added to cover rates volatility on the policy path.

Proceeds first increase cash reserves and reduce leverage in pro-cyclical sleeves; only then are staged purchases of fallen angels considered. The portfolio finishes the quarter bruised but liquid; the hedge paid the bills it was meant to pay.

What not to do – the quiet catalogue of avoidable errors

Experience compiles a short list of anti-patterns.

Do not let a winning hedge morph into a macro thesis – that is how convexity is donated back to the market.

Do not run **all-or-nothing** monetisation's – tranches are humility encoded.

Do not ignore basis richness in credit – indices can become dear to your book; sell the rich thing.

Do not let operational bottlenecks throttle action, approve lines and templates in peacetime.

Do not celebrate marks, celebrate cash.

Published by Para Bellum Advisors | Singapore

The policy paragraph you wish you had written before the storm

If a board wants one paragraph to anchor the mandate, it reads like this, in plain English:

> *"When the portfolio is in a material drawdown or the hedge complex prints gains that cover half to all of those losses, the CIO is authorised to sell portions of the hedge immediately in three steps, without convening a meeting.*
>
> *The cash first extends the institution's liquidity runway and strengthens defensive sleeves.*
>
> *Only after those are secure may a pre-approved opportunity basket be funded gradually.*
>
> *A small residual hedge is re-established to guard against a second leg.*
>
> *The team reports trades within 24 hours and returns with a short after-action review once the episode stabilises."*

There is nothing exotic in that language, and yet most institutions discover they do not have it when they need it.

Closing thought.

Tail hedging is not about calling crises.

It is about ensuring that, when crises call you, you have the one thing markets refuse to sell you at a fair price – time.

That time is bought by cash raised from convexity you paid for in quiet months.

Turn it into a doctrine, rehearse it, and execute it with the calm of a team that has already decided what to do.

The market will bring enough uncertainty of its own — your process should not add any.

"The only true alpha is convexity." – Convex Strategies Risk Update: April 2025 – "Triggered".

Want more?

Para Bellum Advisors irregularly publishes white papers and market insights – explore more at www.parabellumadvisors.com/insights.

About Para Bellum Advisors

Para Bellum Advisors is an independent institutional **derivatives advisory** firm supporting lean investment teams where structure, hedging, and execution materially affect outcomes.

We work with family offices, private credit managers, infrastructure and project-finance investors, boutique asset managers, and PM-led teams managing complex, long-dated portfolios. These are investors who move quickly, operate with real constraints, and need solutions they can implement immediately.

Our work sits at the intersection of derivatives, structuring, capital efficiency, and execution. We help clients eliminate performance drag, unlock trapped capital, and build hedges and structures that behave as intended when markets move.

Para Bellum is practitioner-led. We do not manufacture products or distribute risk. We act as an extension of the investment team, providing senior-level structuring and execution capability without adding permanent headcount.

Alongside our core offerings, we undertake **bespoke advisory mandates** for complex, sensitive, or non-standard situations that fall outside off-the-shelf solutions. These engagements are scoped tightly, executed discreetly, and led directly by senior practitioners.

For more information, visit www.offers.parabellumadvisors.com or connect with

Mike Duncan at **mike.duncan@parabellumadvisors.com**.

Para Bellum Advisors – Disclaimer

This paper is provided for informational purposes only. It does not constitute investment advice, financial product advice, or a recommendation to transact. It is not tailored to any institution's objectives, financial position, risk appetite, or regulatory constraints.

All examples are illustrative. Markets move, assumptions change, and outcomes will differ. Past performance is not a guide to future results. Any views expressed reflect Para Bellum Advisors' judgement at the time of writing and may change without notice.

Institutions should obtain independent advice and conduct their own analysis before making any investment, hedging, or risk-management decision.

Published by Para Bellum Advisors | Singapore